Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 120 (1976) 355–359 © Elsevier Sequoia S.A., Lausanne – Printed in The Netherlands

# PHOSPHORUS(III) OXIDE: THE REACTION OF TETRAPHOSPHORUS HEXAOXIDE WITH GROUP VIB METAL CARBONYLS

#### MICHAEL L. WALKER and JERRY L. MILLS \*

Department of Chemistry, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas 79409 (U.S.A.) (Received April 13th, 1976)

#### Summary

The monosubstituted Group VIB metal carbonyl derivatives of phosphorus-(III) oxide,  $P_4O_6$ , have been prepared and characterized by mass spectrometry, and IR and <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopy. The <sup>31</sup>P NMR chemical shift of the uncoordinated phosphorus(III) atoms in the  $P_4O_6$  cage is found to be a measure of the extent of interaction between the coordinated phosphorus atom and the Lewis acid metal carbonyl site. The  $P_4O_6$  cage appears to be a strong  $\pi$  acceptor ligand.

### Introduction

Phosphorus(III) oxide was first reported in 1890 by Thorpe and Tutton [1,2], and since that time relatively little work has been done with the compound. The compound  $P_4O_6$  is a structural derivative of the tetrahedral  $P_4$  cage of elemental yellow phosphorus, where the six edges of the tetrahedron are occupied by oxygen atoms [3]. Each phosphorus atom has a lone pair of elec-



trons which should be available for donation to a Lewis acid site such as metal carbonyls. Recent work demonstrated the ability of  $P_4O_6$  to sequentially coordinate up to four Ni(CO)<sub>3</sub> moities [4], as well as the boron Lewis acid  $B_2H_6$  [5]. Thus  $P_4O_6$  may be classified as a non-chelating tetradentate ligand. In investigating the reaction of  $P_4O_6$  with Fe<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>9</sub>, it was found that the reaction could be stopped at monosubstitution by carefully controlling the stoichiometry [6]. In this paper we describe the use of  $P_4O_6$  as a monodentate ligand in reactions with the Group VIB metal carbonyls.

# Experimental

All solvents used were reagent grade and were purified by distillation from CaH<sub>2</sub> and were stored over CaH<sub>2</sub>. Tetraphosphorus hexaoxide was obtained by the controlled combustion of white phosphorus [7]. Metal carbonyls (Pressure Chemical) were sublimed before use. Molybdenum hexacarbonyl was also obtained as a gift from Climax Molybdenum Company. A Perkin-Elmer 457 grating spectrophotometer was used for IR measurements, and mass spectra were recorded on a Varian-Mat 311. NMR spectra were recorded using a Varian Model XL-100-15 nuclear magnetic resonance spectrometer with chemical shifts reported relative to an external 50% solution of  $P_4O_6$  in benzene- $d_6$ . Negative chemical shifts are downfield from this external reference. Photochemical reactions were carried out by using a 100-watt medium-pressure Hanovia lamp irradiating through Pyrex reaction vessels.

## Preparation of $(CO)_5Cr(P_4O_6)$ ; photochemical reaction

 $Cr(CO)_6$  (1 g, 4.5 mmol) was dissolved in 50 ml of degassed tetrahydrofuran (THF). To this, under a nitrogen blanket, was added 0.46 ml (4.0 mmol) of  $P_4O_6$ , and the resulting solution was irradiated from the underside of the flask for 2 h. The THF was removed by vapor transfer, and the resultant white residue was transferred in a drybox into a sublimator. The crude material was sublimed ( $50^{\circ}C/10^{-5}$  Torr) for 3 h to remove any excess  $Cr(CO)_6$ . The unsublimed material was transferred to an all glass filtering system, and, using inert atmosphere techniques, was washed 3 times with 30 ml portions of cyclohexane, and the undissolved material was discarded. The cyclohexane was removed under vacuum, yielding 0.8 g (45% yield) of ( $CO)_5Cr(P_4O_6)$ .

#### Preparation of $(CO)_5 Mo(P_4O_6)$ ; photochemical reaction

The same procedure was used as for the preparation of  $(CO)_5Cr(P_4O_6)$ , with removal of Mo(CO)<sub>6</sub> at 50°C/10<sup>-5</sup> Torr for 5 h and recrystallization from cyclohexane.

### Preparation of $(CO)_5 Mo(P_4O_6)$ ; thermal reaction

 $Mo(CO)_6$  (10 g, 37.9 mmol) was added to a 3-necked round bottom flask containing 150 ml of degassed methylcyclohexane. To the solution was added, under nitrogen, 3.5 ml (34.0 mmol) of  $P_4O_6$ , and the solution was heated at reflux for 4.5 h. After cooling, the reaction mixture was filtered in an all glass filtering system. The solvent was removed by vapor transfer, leaving a white solid, which was purified in the same manner as the residue from the photochemical reaction, yielding approximately 10 g of  $(CO)_5 Mo(P_4O_6)$ .

# Preparation of $(CO)_5 W(P_4O_6)$ ; photochemical reaction

The same procedure was used as in the preparation of  $(CO)_5Cr(P_4O_6)$ . The residue was heated at 75°C for 12 h to remove  $W(CO)_6$ , with approximately a 35% yield of  $(CO)_5W(P_4O_6)$  being produced following recrystallization from cyclohexane.

#### **Results and discussion**

As part of an extensive study of the ligative properties of phosphorus cage compounds, particularly  $P_4O_6$ , we recently reported the results of a study of the reaction of  $P_4O_6$  with iron carbonyls [6]. The results of that investigation indicated the desirability of obtaining some <sup>31</sup>P NMR comparison data on the products of  $P_4O_6$  with other metal carbonyl systems. The Group VIB metal carbonyls,  $Cr(CO)_6$ ,  $Mo(CO)_6$ , and  $W(CO)_6$ , offered an ideal series for NMR studies, since none of the metals have a nuclear quadrupole that would result in line broadening with possible concommitant loss of fine structure.

The formation of the air-sensitive monosubstitution products  $(CO)_5M(P_4O_6)$ , where M = Cr, Mo, and W, was confirmed by mass spectrometry, IR and <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopy.

The mass spectral data are summarized in Table 1. In all cases there was a parent ion peak, in addition to peaks corresponding to successive loss of CO,  $(CO)_n M(P_4O_6)$ , n = 5—0. In addition to expected ion fragments, also present were peaks of relatively low intensity (<20%) corresponding to metal atom ions with attached fragments of the phosphorus—oxygen cage, such as  $M(P_3O_4)$ . This is not surprising considering the fragmentation of the  $P_4O_6$  cage itself [7].

The IR data for the compounds (Table 2) were that expected for monosubstitution at the metal center, showing four bands in the carbonyl region [8] together with four bands in the P—O region [7]. In cyclohexane solvent the P—O bands were generally broad and poorly resolved, whereas in CS<sub>2</sub> solvent these peaks were better resolved. The carbonyl stretching frequencies increased going down the Group, qualitatively indicating a decrease in the  $\pi$  \* carbonyl orbital population. This is expected due to a decrease in the phosphorus 3d orbital overlap with the larger, more diffuse 4d and 5d orbitals on molybdenum and tungsten, respectively.

Monodentate phosphorus coordination of the  $P_4O_6$  cage should produce a <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum of a coupled doublet (relative area 3) and quartet (relative area 1), assuming that there are no other nuclear spins within the molecule. As expected, this was the observed <sup>31</sup>P NMR pattern for the molecules (CO)<sub>5</sub>M-(P<sub>4</sub>O<sub>6</sub>), M = Cr, Mo, and W (Table 3). Of particular interest is the chemical shifts, of which there are now two types: the coordinated phosphorus(IV) atom:

MASS SPECIFICAL DATA OF GROUP VIB METAL CARBON IL DERIVATIVES					
Ion <sup>+ b</sup>	Cr	Мо	W		
(CO) <sub>5</sub> MP <sub>4</sub> O <sub>6</sub>	35.4	19.2	16.2		
$(CO)_4 MP_4 O_6$	5.7	16.1	19.1		
(CO) <sub>3</sub> MP <sub>4</sub> O <sub>6</sub>	12.8	22.0	15.7		
$(CO)_2MP_4O_6$	34.0	20.2	20.6		
COMP <sub>4</sub> O <sub>6</sub>	85.7	41.9	10.1		
MP4O6	93.9	56.1	65.2		
MP <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	23.4	13.2	21.0		
P406	99.3	98.4	100		

TABLE 1

<sup>a</sup> Reported as % ion intensity. <sup>b</sup> Based on isotopic peaks <sup>52</sup>Cr, <sup>98</sup>Mo, and <sup>184</sup>W.

INFRARED DATA OF GROUP VIB METAL CARBONYL DERIVATIVES					
Compound	ν(CO) <sup>a</sup>	ν(PO) <sup>b</sup>			
(CO) <sub>5</sub> CrP <sub>4</sub> O <sub>6</sub>	2090m, 2062m, 1991vs, 1961s	947m, 922s, 900(sh), 891s			
(CO) <sub>5</sub> MoP <sub>4</sub> O <sub>6</sub>	2090m, 2070m, 1995vs, 1965s	945m, 922s, 900(sh), 889s	-		
(CO) <sub>5</sub> WP <sub>4</sub> O <sub>6</sub>	2101m, 2071m, 1996vs, 1969s	945s, 923s, 900s, 885s			

 $^{a}$  C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>12</sub> solution, KBr plates.  $^{b}$  CS<sub>2</sub> solution.

and the three, magnetically equivalent, uncoordinated phosphorus(III) atoms. Grim et al., have shown [9] that coordination of a series of metal carbonyls to phosphorus(III) produced a downfield shift of the phosphorus resonance relative to the uncoordinated phosphine or phosphite. While downfield shifts are common for tertiary phosphines, it is not uncommon for coordinated phosphites to be shifted upfield of the free ligand [10]. Thus, with P<sub>4</sub>O<sub>6</sub> acting as a monodentate ligand, the coordinated <sup>31</sup>P resonance was shifted to -46.0 and -20.2 ppm (relative to P<sub>4</sub>O<sub>6</sub>) in (CO)<sub>5</sub>Cr(P<sub>4</sub>O<sub>6</sub>) and (CO)<sub>5</sub>Mo(P<sub>4</sub>O<sub>6</sub>), respectively. However, for (CO)<sub>5</sub>W(P<sub>4</sub>O<sub>6</sub>), the coordinated phosphorus atom is shifted upfield +9.7 ppm (Table 3). This observation may be explained by the neighboring anisotropy effect causing by heavy atoms, i.e., tungsten, as has been observed previously [11] in series of Cr, Mo, and W compounds. A similar trend has been noted for the compounds (CO)<sub>5</sub>CrP(OMe)<sub>3</sub>, (CO)<sub>5</sub>MoP(OMe)<sub>3</sub>, and (CO)<sub>5</sub>WP(OMe)<sub>3</sub> with <sup>31</sup>P NMR shifts (relative to free P(OMe)<sub>3</sub>) of -39, -21, and +4 ppm, respectively [12].

The chemical shift of the three equivalent, uncoordinated phosphorus(III) atoms in a monosubstituted  $P_4O_6$  compound appear to be almost solely dependent upon inductive effects through the  $P^{IV}-O-P^{III}$  linkage. Thus the chemical shift of the uncoordinated phosphorus atoms give a direct measure of the interaction of the coordinated phosphorus atom with a Lewis acid. The chemical shift of the phosphorus(III) atoms in the compounds  $(CO)_5Cr(P_4O_6)$ ,  $(CO)_5Mo-(P_4O_6)$ , and  $(CO)_5W(P_4O_6)$  was almost independent of the coordinating metal center (Table 3) as the  $\sigma$  donating ability of  $P_4O_6$  should vary little in this homologous series. A greater interaction was observed as monitored by the shift of the phosphorus(III) resonances, between  $P_4O_6$  and the metal carbonyls in  $(CO)_3Ni(P_4O_6)$  and  $(CO)_4Fe(P_4O_6)$  with shifts of -4.0 [6] and -5.6 ppm [4],

ŢΑ	BL	Е	3
----	----	---	---

**31P NMR DATA OF GROUP VIB METAL CARBONYL DERIVATIVES** 

Compound <sup>a</sup>	δ <sub>coord</sub> .	δ <sub>uncoord</sub> .	J(POP)	-
$(CO)_5 CrP_4O_6$	46.0	-2.4	35.5	
(CO) <sub>5</sub> MoP <sub>4</sub> O <sub>6</sub> (CO) <sub>5</sub> WP <sub>4</sub> O <sub>6</sub>	20.2 + 9.7	2.5 2.7	35.0 33.0 <sup>b</sup>	

<sup>a</sup> C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub> solutions. <sup>b</sup> J(<sup>31</sup>P-183W) 485.5.

TABLE 2

respectively. Substantiating data to support this argument is evidenced by the dramatic shifts produced on the phosphorus(III) atoms when the  $P_4O_6$  coordinates to the very electronegative Group VIA elements, O, S, and Se, with shifts of -20 ppm in ( $P_4O_6$ )O, -12 ppm in ( $P_4O_6$ )S, and -11 ppm in ( $P_4O_6$ )Se [13].

There is evidence to support the idea that  $P_4O_6$  behaves as a very strong Lewis base only when the cage can also be a strong  $\pi$  electron acceptor. For example,  $P_4O_6$  can act as a tetradentate base towards Ni(CO)<sub>4</sub> [4] and Fe(CO)<sub>5</sub> [6], but, since the highest observed [5] value of n in the series of compounds  $P_4O_6 \cdot nBH_3$  was 3 instead of 4, it appears that the phosphorus cage exhibits only moderate basicity towards  $B_2H_6$  (which cannot behave as a  $\pi$  donor). The argument for  $P_4O_6$  behaving as a strong  $\pi$  acceptor is greatly supported by the coupling constant  $J({}^{31}P-{}^{183}W)$  in (CO)<sub>5</sub>W(P<sub>4</sub>O<sub>6</sub>). Grim et al. [11] found a linear relationship between  $J(^{31}P-^{183}W)$  and the E species C-O stretching frequency for a number of complexes of the type  $(CO)_{5}$  WPR<sub>3</sub>, and they interpreted their relationship in terms of  $\pi$  acceptor ability of the phosphines. They found the best  $\pi$  acceptor phosphine to be (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub>P, based on the fact that the complex (CO)<sub>5</sub>- $WP(C_6H_5)_3$  had the highest C–O stretching frequency (1943 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and the greatest  $J(^{31}P-^{183}W)$  (280 Hz). They extended their study to phosphite complexes (CO)<sub>5</sub>WP(OR)<sub>3</sub>, and found the best  $\pi$  acceptor ligand to be P(OPh)<sub>3</sub>, with a  $\nu$ (CO) of 1959 cm<sup>-1</sup> and a coupling constant of 411 Hz [14]. Assuming at least the qualitative validity [15] of interpretation of the data in terms of  $\pi$  acceptor ability of the ligand, then  $P_4O_6$  is indeed an excellent  $\pi$  acceptor ligand, as indicated by  $J({}^{31}P-{}^{183}W)$  of 485.5 Hz a C–O stretching frequency of 1996  $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ .

## Acknowledgment

We gratefully acknowledge the generous financial support of the Robert A. Welch Foundation. We also wish to thank Mr. Richard Briggs and Drs. James Hinton and Frank Millett, together with support from the Southern Region Education Board, for help in obtaining some of the <sup>31</sup>P NMR data. We also wish to thank Mr. J.C. Hodge and Mr. Mark Nordyke for assistance in obtaining mass spectral data.

#### References

- 1 T.E. Thorpe and A.E. Tutton, J. Chem. Soc., 57 (1890) 545.
- 2 T.E. Thorpe and A.E. Tutton, J. Chem. Soc., 59 (1891) 1020.
- 3 G.C. Hampton and A.J. Stostick, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 60 (1938) 1814.
- 4 J.G. Riess and J.R. Van Wazer, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 88 (1966) 2166.
- 5 J.G. Riess and J.R. Van Wazer, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 89 (1967) 851; J.G. Riess and J.R. Van Wazer, ibid., 88 (1966) 2339.
- 6 M.L. Walker and J.L. Mills, Inorg. Chem., 14 (1975) 2438.
- 7 M.L. Walker and J.L. Mills, Syn. React. Inorg. Metal-Org. Chem., 5 (1974) 29.
- 8 F.A. Cotton and R.V. Parish, J. Chem. Soc., (1960) 1440.
- 9 S.O. Grim and D.A. Wheatland, Inorg. Chem., 8 (1969) 1716; S.O. Grim, D.A. Wheatland and P.R. McAllister, ibid., 7 (1968) 161.
- 10 J.F. Nixon and A. Pedicock, in E.F. Mooney (Ed.), Annual Reviews of NMR Spectroscopy, Vol. 2, Academic Press, New York, 1969, p. 346-422.
- 11 S.O. Grim, D.A. Wheatland and W. McFarlane, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 84 (1967) 5573.
- 12 L. Lenzi and R. Poilblanc, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 263 (1966) 674; R. Mathieu, M. Lenzi and R. Poilblanc, ibid., 266 (1968) 806.
- 13 M.L. Walker, D.E. Peckenpaugh and J.L. Mills, in preparation.
- 14 S.O. Grim, P.R. McAllister and R.M. Singer, Chem. Commun., (1969) 38.
- 15 R.P. Stewart and P.M. Treichel, Inorg. Chem., 7 (1968) 1942.